

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

WILLIAM MARTIN,

Plaintiff,

v.

JEFFREY A. UTTECHT, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C18-5929 BHS-JRC

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
OBJECTIONS AND
TRANSFERRING CASE

This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of the Honorable J. Richard Creatura, United States Magistrate Judge, Dkt. 56, and Plaintiff William Martin's ("Plaintiff") objections to the R&R, Dkt. 57.

On March 6, 2020, Defendants moved for the Court to either dismiss or transfer the lawsuit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a). Dkt. 31. On March 17, 2020, Plaintiff responded. Dkt. 40. On March 25, 2020, Defendants replied. Dkt. 45. On April 3, 2020, Plaintiff filed a surreply.¹ Dkt. 48.

¹ This surreply is stricken because it fails to comply with the local rules. *See* Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 7(g) (surreply may only request that the Court strike material improperly submitted with a reply).

1 When a party files objections to a nondispositive order, the “district judge in the
2 case must consider timely objections and modify or set aside any part of the order that is
3 clearly erroneous or is contrary to law.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a).

4 Plaintiff has failed to show that any part of Judge Creatura’s order is clearly
5 erroneous or contrary to law. Plaintiff is currently housed or will soon be housed in the
6 Washington Corrections Center for Women located in Gig Harbor within the Western
7 District. Dkt. 57. However, venue is determined by where the defendants reside or where
8 a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred, 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b),
9 which Judge Creatura concluded is the Eastern District of Washington, Dkt. 56 at 3.
10 Plaintiff has failed to show that this conclusion is clearly erroneous or contrary to law.
11 Therefore, the Court **DENIES** Plaintiff’s objections and directs the Clerk to transfer this
12 case to the Eastern District of Washington. *Grimes v. City & Cty. of San Francisco*, 951
13 F.2d 236, 240 (9th Cir. 1991)(On nondispositive matters, the “district court shall defer to
14 the magistrate’s orders unless they are clearly erroneous or contrary to law.”).

15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16 Dated this 1st day of September, 2020.

17
18 

19 BENJAMIN H. SETTLE
20 United States District Judge
21
22